

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/940,211	08/28/2001	Edward Federowicz		5390	
EDWARD FE	7590 09/19/200 DEROWICZ	8	EXAMINER		
98 WEST 32ND STREET			SHERR, CRISTINA O		
BAYONNE, N	IJ 07002		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3685		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/19/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
N. C. CAL.	09/940,211	FEDEROWICZ.	EDWARD				
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit					
	CRISTINA OWEN SHERR	3685					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
This application is abandoned in view of:							
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of)	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	<u> </u>					
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does							
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of; (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compilance with 37 CFR 1.114).							
(c) A reply was received on 04/10/08 but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).							
(d) ☐ No reply has been received.							
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 		the statutory period	of three months				
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was, which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).							
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	of \$ is due.						
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$							
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.							
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).							
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.							
(b) \(\sum \) No corrected drawings have been received.							
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 							
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filling of a continuing application.							
5 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.							
7. 🛮 The reason(s) below:							
See Continuation Sheet							
/Calvin L Hewitt II/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3685							

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: A final rejection was mailed on 5 February 2008. Applicant filed an after-final response on April 10, 2008. Advisory Action mailed on May 20, 2008. Applicant is pro se and was advised both in wirting via the advisory action and verbally via phone conversation on or about June 10, 2008, that a reply was due within 3 months, extendable to 6 months of the mailing date of the final rejection. No reply has been received. Phone calls to applicant attempted on or about September 10, 2008., but have been unsuccessful in reaching the applicant.